

Machine learning-based anomaly detection for ITER's Tokamak Systems Monitor: a gyrotron case study

J. Paret^{1*}, D. Iglesias¹, D. Sabio Ruiz², D. Meloni³, A. Antonione⁴, R. Bertazzoni⁵, G. Carannante¹, M. Ferrari¹, M. Ortiz de Zúñiga^{6,7}, M. Cavinato⁶, F. Sánchez Arcos⁶, A. Portone⁶

¹ITER Organization, ²Automaticity Solutions, ³Massachusetts Institute of Technology, ⁴Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, ⁵Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, ⁶Fusion for Energy, ⁷Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia

I. TOKAMAK SYSTEMS MONITOR

The **Tokamak Systems Monitor** (TSM) software analyzes data from various sensors across systems to assess the ITER tokamak's health, for the power supplies and components inside the cryostat. It reconstructs critical engineering parameters, evaluates operational margins, detects anomalies, and assists physics studies.

Top-Level Functions of TSM



IV. METHODOLOGY & WORKFLOW

In order to directly compare individual gyrotron pulses, variable-length signals must be transformed into equal-length feature vectors $\{x_n\}$. A comprehensive set of M statistical and temporal features [1] are extracted from each pulse to form a dataset matrix \mathcal{D} . These features include min, max, mean, std, skewness, kurtosis, slope, energy, entropy, and many more.



This work presents a method for detecting anomalies **in-between pulses** leveraging machine learning-based methods, with a focus on the gyrotron as a case study.

II. ANOMALY DETECTION

Anomaly detection identifies unusual patterns or behaviors in data that deviate from the norm (e.g. sudden spikes, constant bias, slow drift, increased noise, etc.). Machine learning methods are typically used for anomaly detection due to their ability to automatically identify complex patterns and adapt to evolving data. This is critical for early detection of potential failures, preventing damage and costly downtime.



Two types of algorithms will be implemented in TSM...

Intershot

Evaluates *entire* pulse after it an completes, *labelling* it as normal or anomalous based based on deviations from the nominal behavior.

Time-resolved

it Monitors data generated, as is flagging anomalies detecting and instantly during machine operation.

Time [s]

One pulse = one feature vector \boldsymbol{x}_n

The data processing pipeline goes as follows:



Principal Component Analysis (PCA) allows a low-dimensional ($m \ll M$) representation of the dataset \mathcal{D} -composed of linearly independent features- to be obtained, while preserving most of its original variance (typically 80%-90%).

DBSCAN [2] is a density-based **clustering** algorithm that groups together points closely packed (high density) and marks as **outliers** points that lie alone in low-density regions. It requires two parameters: the neighborhood radius ε and minimum number of points to form a dense region N_{points}^{\min} .



25-

6%)

(21.

V. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Following the pipeline described in Section IV, each pulse is first transformed into a 1023-dimensional feature vector. PCA then projects the data onto a low-dimensional space (m = 4), restoring 80% of the original variance of \mathcal{D} . This 2 2 2 2 5 -25 reduced feature space reveals that a majority of pulses form a single dense cluster, with some outliers flagged by DBSCAN.



The automated detection of anomalies will **raise warnings** to the TSM operator where deviations are to be analyzed. The operator will check the detected anomalies and register or dismiss them through TSM's graphical interface, allowing for expert feedback and further improvement of the implemented algorithms or the development of new ones.

III. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP



This study focuses on a 1MW **European prototype**





The temperature profiles of these outlier pulses, *i.e.*, the normalized time-dependent temperature averaged over all 33 thermocouples $\langle \hat{T} \rangle$, indeed exhibit deviations from the expected plateau-like pattern.

Isolation Forest [3] yielded similar results to DBSCAN. A 180-step hyperparameter grid search helped identify consistently flagged pulses, effectively pseudo-labeling the dataset for future supervised or semi-supervised models (*e.g.*, LSTM autoencoders).



The current approach has two main limitations:

- It detects global anomalies but may miss localized ones (*e.g.*, single-sensor spikes) due to the high dimensionality of the original feature space.
- It does not indicate which specific signal(s) triggered an anomaly.

VI. FUTURE WORK

- Enhance the sensitivity of the method and interpretability of the results to identify which signals caused anomalies.
- Use the pseudo-labels from this study to train supervised models, both intershot and time-resolved.
- Continue the development of the TSM anomaly detection module to incorporate diverse algorithms across multiple systems.
- Extend the detection to cross-system anomalies (*e.g.*, stray radiation in the vacuum vessel originating from ECH).

References

[1] Barandas et al., *TSFEL: feature extraction for time series*, SoftwareX, 2010 [2] Ester et al., DBSCAN: density-based clustering with noise, KDD, 1996 [3] Liu et al., Isolation Forest: anomaly detection via random partitioning, IEEE ICDM, 2008

*Joris.Paret@iter.org (June 2025, Symposium On Fusion Engineering, Cambridge, MA) ITER Organization, Route de Vinon-sur-Verdon, CS 90 046, 13067 St. Paul Lez Durance Cedex, France The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the ITER Organization and F4E © 2025, ITER Organization